EXHIBIT 7: Action Class Judgment Worksheet United States Department of Agriculture Project Plan Title: Agricultural Research Service Office of Scientific Quality Review National Program: Lead Scientist: Panel Dates: ACTION CLASS RATING WORKSHEET See Guidelines for Reviewing ARS Research Scientific Quality Review Officers: The Officer whose signature appears below **Project Plans** agrees to treat the contents of this Plan as confidential and that no basis for a conflict of interest has been found. Final determination of conflicts of interest, which are outlined in the Guidelines for Reviewing ARS Research Project Plans, resides with the OSQR. Individual quality ratings translate into the following Reviewer # **Quality Rating** Numerical Value numerical values: 1 No Revision Required = 8 points No revision is required, but minor changes to the project plan may be made. 2 Minor Revision Required = 6 points 3 The project plan is basically feasible as written but requires some revision to increase quality to a higher 4 **Moderate Revision Required = 4 points** 5 The project plan is basically feasible as written but requires moderate revision to one or more objectives, 6 perhaps involving changes to the experimental approaches, in order to increase quality to a higher level. The project plan may also need some rewriting for 7 greater clarity. 8 **Major Revision Required** = 2 points Substantial revision to one or more objectives is necessary, but the project plan should be sound and 9 feasible after significant revision. 10 Not Feasible = 0 pointsThe project plan has major flaws or deficiencies, and cannot be simply revised to produce a sound project. If 11 the project is not terminated, a complete redesign and rewrite are required. 12 Per project plan, individual panelist quality ratings 13 will be tallied, divided by the total number of panelists (panel members, plus panel chair, excluding ad-hoc reviewers), and rounded to the nearest tenth to TOTAL # Reviewers: **Total Rating:** arrive at a final project score. Final Project Ratings are as follows: Average Rating: Scientific Quality Review Officer's Name No Revision Required: >7.0 **EVALUATION** Minor Revision Required: 5.1 to 6.9 Moderate Revision Required: 3.1 to 5.0 No Revision Required Major Revision Required: 1.1 to 3.0 (Please type or print name) Not Feasible: <1.1 Minor Revision Required Moderate Revision Required Major Revision Required Date Signature Not Feasible